

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

AMBER KRISTI MARSH, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

FIRST BANK OF DELAWARE, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. [11-cv-05226-WHO](#)

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR
EXTENSION OF TIME**

Re: Dkt. No. 237

ORDER

Although the Court's Order denying the plaintiffs' motion to seal (Dkt. No. 229) only stated the plaintiffs shall re-file a motion to seal "promptly," having considered Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion to Extend Time to File Second Motion to File Documents Under Seal and good cause having been shown:


IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Motion is GRANTED.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiffs are granted a two-day extension of time to file their new motion to seal.

The plaintiffs are reminded to strictly adhere to the requirements of Civil Local Rule 79-5, including the provisions concerning the form of courtesy copies to the Court. Failure to strictly comply will result in denial of any motions to seal.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 22, 2014



WILLIAM H. ORRICK
United States District Judge